DANIEL G. BOGDEN
United States Attorney
PHILLIP N. SMITH, JR.
Assistant United States Attorney
Lloyd D. George United States Courthouse
333 Las Vegas Blvd. South, Suite 5000
Las Vegas, Nevada 89101
(702) 388-6336

UNITED STA

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF NEVADA

* * *

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

LEON JOHNSON,

Defendant.

AMENDEDSTIPULATION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and between Daniel G. Bogden, United States Attorney, and Phillip N. Smith, Jr., Assistant United States Attorney, counsel for the United States of America, and Paul D. Riddle, Assistant Federal Public Defender, counsel for Defendant LEON JOHNSON, that the date for the Government to file a response to the Defendant's "Objections to Report and Recommendation" (Docket #40) be extended for two (2) weeks.

This stipulation is entered for the following reasons:

1. On October 5, 2015, the Defendant filed a Motion to Dismiss. *See* Docket #26. The Government filed a response on November 2, 2015. *See* Docket #31. On November 17, 2015, the Magistrate Judge issued a Report and Recommendation recommending that the Defendant's Motion to Dismiss be denied. *See* Docket #33. On December 16, 2015, the

1	Defendant filed Objections to the Report and Recommendation. See Docket #40. PACER set			
2	the Government's response deadline for January 2, 2016, which was a Saturday. The			
3	Government's response is therefore due on January 4, 2016.			
4	2. On January 5, 2015, undersigned counsel for the United States will begin a trial in			
5	the matter of <i>United States v. Charles Ray Bell, Jr.</i> , case number 2:14-cr-138-JAD-VCF.			
6	Counsel for the Government has been preparing for that trial for the last two weeks.			
7	3. The Defendant is incarcerated, but he does not object to the continuance of the			
8	Government's response deadline.			
9	4. The additional time requested herein is not sought for purposes of delay, but			
10	merely to allow counsel for the Government adequate time to prepare an adequate response to			
11	the Defendant's Objections.			
12	5. Additionally, denial of this request for continuance could result in a miscarriage			
13	of justice.			
14	6. This is the first stipulation filed herein to continue the Government's response			
15	deadline.			
16	DATED: January 4, 2016.			
17				
18	PHILLIP N. SMITH, JR. PAUL D. RIDDLE, AFPD			
19	Assistant United States Attorney Counsel for Defendant LEON JOHNSON Counsel for the United States			
20	Counsel for the Clinear States			
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				

1

2 3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24 25

26

28

27

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

* * *

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	2:15-CR-220-JCM-VCF
)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
v.)	
)	
LEON JOHNSON,)	
)	
Defendant.)	
)	

FINDINGS OF FACT

Based on the pending Stipulation of counsel, and good cause appearing therefore, the Court finds that:

- 1. On October 5, 2015, the Defendant filed a Motion to Dismiss. See Docket #26. The Government filed a response on November 2, 2015. See Docket #31. On November 17, 2015, the Magistrate Judge issued a Report and Recommendation recommending that the Defendant's Motion to Dismiss be denied. See Docket #33. On December 16, 2015, the Defendant filed Objections to the Report and Recommendation. See Docket #40. PACER set the Government's response deadline for January 2, 2016, which was a Saturday. The Government's response is therefore due on January 4, 2016.
- 2. On January 5, 2015, undersigned counsel for the United States will begin a trial in the matter of United States v. Charles Ray Bell, Jr., case number 2:14-cr-138-JAD-VCF. Counsel for the Government has been preparing for that trial for the last two weeks.
- 3. The Defendant is incarcerated, but he does not object to the continuance of the Government's response deadline.

1	
	4. The additional time requested herein is not sought for purposes of delay, but
	merely to allow counsel for the Government adequate time to prepare an adequate response to
	the Defendant's Objections.
	5. Additionally, denial of this request for continuance could result in a miscarriage
	of justice.
	6. This is the first stipulation filed herein to continue the Government's response
	deadline.
	For all of the above-stated reasons, the ends of justice would best be served by a
	continuance of the motion response deadline.
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
	The additional time requested herein is not sought for purposes of delay, but merely to
	allow the Government adequate time to prepare a response, taking into account due diligence.
	The failure to grant said continuance would likely result in a miscarriage of justice.
	<u>ORDER</u>
	IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, that the previously-scheduled response deadline for the
	Government to respond to the Defendant's "Objections to Report and Recommendation"
	(Docket #40) is extended until, 2016.
	DATED January 7, 2016.
	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE